UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,758	03/31/2004	Samit Kumar Basu	140361-1/YOD GERD:0123	5263
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY GLOBAL RESEARCH			EXAMINER	
			BITAR, NANCY	
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 NISKAYUNA, NY 12309		4A59	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ldocket@crd.ge.com rosssr@crd.ge.com parkskl@crd.ge.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/813,758	BASU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NANCY BITAR	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Oc</u>	ctober 2008					
<i>i</i> —	/ 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	<u> </u>					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
cos and attached actained chies action for a list of the continue copies not received.						
Attachmont/o						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/813,758 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's response to the last Office Action, filed 6/4/2008, has been entered and made of record.
- 2. Applicant has amended claims 16. Claims 12-30 are currently pending.
- 3. Applicants arguments filed 10/16/2008 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ang Shih (US 2005/0152504).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 12-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Ang Shih (US 2005/0152504).

As to claim 12, Shih teaches a method for generating a variance map from measured projection data acquired from a tomography system comprising:

Art Unit: 2624

accessing the measured projection data from the tomography system (A tomography system 100 comprises an imaging system 102; note that figure 3 teaches acquire an object projection of an object; 310);

formulating a variance measure based upon the measured projection data (generating the variance reconstruction from the variance projections, paragraph [0010]); and generating a variance map from the variance measure using a reconstruction algorithm (the variance projection includes an intensity map and positional data for the perspective that is common to the standard and object projections; note that 3D variance reconstruction of the variations between the object and the standard is generated, and the object is qualified based on the variance reconstruction, Paragraph [0043])

As to claim 13, Shih teaches determining variability of a mean pixel value caused by noise factors and artifact factors associated with the measured projection data based upon the variance measure (any pixel in the variance projection intensity map that exceeds a particular threshold value can be a variant portion. Alternatively, a variant portion can be defined as any portion of the intensity map in which a threshold number of pixels within a given area each exceed threshold intensity. Threshold values, for example, can be fixed values or can be set by a user to vary the sensitivity. It will be appreciated that more complex algorithms can also be applied to identify variant portions, paragraph [0037]; figure 4).

As to claim 14, Shih teaches the method of claim 12, wherein formulating a variance measure is based on a statistical model (figure 4, 450,460,470; it is known to one skilled in the art that the numerical analyzer can include statistical model).

As to claim 15, Shih et al teaches the method of claim 12, wherein the reconstruction algorithm is a weighted filtered back projection reconstruction algorithm or a fast reconstruction algorithm such as a Fourier-based algorithm, a hierarchical algorithm, or a coarse reconstruction based on down sampled projection data and/or image data (paragraph [0037])

As to claim 17, Shih et al teaches the method of claim 15, wherein the reconstruction algorithm is adapted to operate on the variance measure to generate the variance map (It will be appreciated that more complex algorithms can also be applied to identify variant portions, paragraph [0037])

As to claim 18, Shih teaches the method of claim 12 further comprises displaying, analyzing or processing the variance map (figure 4, numerical analyzer).

As to claim 19, Shih teaches the method of claim 12, wherein the measured projection data is reconstructed to generate original image data and wherein the original image data is displayed or analyzed based upon or in conjunction with the variance map (a graphical user interface can provide variance data to the operator. For example, a graphics generator of the numerical analyzer 470 can superimpose the variance reconstruction of the variations over a stored 3D reconstruction of the standard to provide the operator with a visual indication of the differences between the object and the standard, paragraph [0045]).

As to claim 20, Shih teaches the method of claim 12, further comprising identifying features of interest in the original image data based upon the variance map (identify variant portions of the variance projection, 340; figure 3).

The limitation of claims 21-24 has been addressed in claims 12-15

Application/Control Number: 10/813,758

Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

The limitation of claim 25 has been addressed in claim 17.

As to claim 26, Shih et al teaches the method of claim 21, wherein the measured projection data is reconstructed to generate original image data and wherein the original image data is displayed analyzed or processed based upon the variance map (a graphical user interface can provide variance data to the operator. For example, a graphics generator of the numerical analyzer 470 can superimpose the variance reconstruction of the variations over a stored 3D reconstruction of the standard to provide the operator with a visual indication of the differences between the object and the standard. The composite of the standard and variance reconstructions can be enhanced, for example through the use of colors or shading, to highlight defects for the operator. It will be appreciated that such graphics can also be displayed while object qualification is being determined automatically by the numerical analyzer 470, paragraph [0045]; figure 5).

The limitation of claim 27 has been addressed in claim 20.

The limitation of claim 28 has been addressed above on that claim 18 is a system claim whereas claim 1 is a method claim .Therefore; claim 28 is analyzed as previously discussed.

The limitation of claims 29 and 30 has been addressed above

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANCY BITAR whose telephone number is (571)270-1041. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (7:30a.m. to 5:00pm).

Application/Control Number: 10/813,758 Page 6

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jinge Wu can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jingge Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

/Nancy Bitar/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624